

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

PAPERINUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/183,789	10/30/1998	VALERIE MARTELANGE	L0461/7047	3523
75	90 01/29/2002			
JOHN R VAN AMSTERDAM			EXAMINER	
600 ATLANTIC			HARRIS, ALANA M	
BOSTON, MA 02210			ARTIBUT PAPERINUMBER	

1642 DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) MARTELANGE ET AL. 09/183.789 **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner 1642 Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8,43 and 60. Claim(s) objected to: 50-56 and 59. Claim(s) rejected: 1,9,18,19,40,41,57 and 58. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20,24,28,35,38,45 and 47. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____. 10. ☐ Other:

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments to the claims are not supported by the specification. Applicants state that "[s]upport for the amendment to claim 40 can be found in the spec. at page 13, which desribes the use of antisense oligonucleotides 'particularly for therapeutic purposes, thereby suggesting the use for non-therapeutic." This is not found persuasive. The Exr. has reviewed page 13 and notes in lines 4-14 Southern and Northern blot experiments, but does not see support for experiments that utilize App. antisense nucleic acid in the context of reducing the expression of the tumor associated nucleic acid in vitro. Likewise, the proposed amendments to claims 9, 40, 41, 57 and 58 are alleged to have support within the specification at page 16. The Exr. has also reviewed this page and does not note where the support is found. Further, the proposed amendment arbitrarily references nucleic and amino acid sequences. It is not clear from page 16 how App. derived from SEQ ID NO: 39, 38 and 44 the portion fragments as recited. Applicants should specify by paragraph or lines where support can be explicitedly found.

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600